

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/914,440	LEE ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nikolas J. Uhler	1773	

**All Participants:**(1) Nikolas J. Uhler(2) Guy Birkenmeier**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 May 2004**Time:** 830 am**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:***all pending***Claims discussed:***all pending***Prior art documents discussed:***all cited***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to discuss a proposed amendment that would likely render the claims allowable. Specifically, the examiner requested language specifying the molecular weight of the phenoxy resin to be inserted into claim 1, as this limitation appeared to be critical to the invention. Further, corrections to the dependancies of claims 4 and 8 were discussed, as well as minor changes to clarify the claim language.